arXiv:0905.0596v1 [math-ph] 5 May 2009

Sequential product on standard effect algebra $\mathcal{E}(H)$*

Shen Jun[1,2], Wu Junde[1†]

[1]*Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China*

[2]*Department of Mathematics, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241003, P. R. China*

Abstract

A quantum effect is an operator A on a complex Hilbert space H that satisfies $0 \leq A \leq I$, $\mathcal{E}(H)$ is the set of all quantum effects on H. In 2001, Professor Gudder and Nagy studied the sequential product $A \circ B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. In 2005, Professor Gudder asked: Is $A \circ B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the only sequential product on $\mathcal{E}(H)$? Recently, Liu and Wu presented an example to show that the answer is negative. In this paper, firstly, we characterize some algebraic properties of the abstract sequential product on $\mathcal{E}(H)$; secondly, we present a general method for constructing sequential products on $\mathcal{E}(H)$; finally, we study some properties of the sequential products constructed by the method.

Key Words. Quantum effect, standard effect algebra, sequential product.

1. Introduction

Sequential effect algebra is an important model for studying the quantum measurement theory ([1-7]). A sequential effect algebra is an effect algebra which has a sequential product operation. Firstly, we recall some elementary notations and results.

---

*This project is supported by Natural Science Found of China (10771191 and 10471124).

†E-mail: wjd@zju.edu.cn

An *effect algebra* is a system $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$, where 0 and 1 are distinct elements of E and $\oplus$ is a partial binary operation on E satisfying that [8]:

(EA1) If $a \oplus b$ is defined, then $b \oplus a$ is defined and $b \oplus a = a \oplus b$.

(EA2) If $a \oplus (b \oplus c)$ is defined, then $(a \oplus b) \oplus c$ is defined and

$$(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c).$$

(EA3) For each $a \in E$, there exists a unique element $b \in E$ such that $a \oplus b = 1$.

(EA4) If $a \oplus 1$ is defined, then $a = 0$.

In an effect algebra $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$, if $a \oplus b$ is defined, we write $a \perp b$. For each $a \in (E, 0, 1, \oplus)$, it follows from (EA3) that there exists a unique element $b \in E$ such that $a \oplus b = 1$, we denote b by a'. Let $a, b \in (E, 0, 1, \oplus)$, if there exists a $c \in E$ such that $a \perp c$ and $a \oplus c = b$, then we say that $a \leq b$. It follows from [8] that $\leq$ is a partial order of $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$ and satisfies that for each $a \in E$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, $a \perp b$ if and only if $a \leq b'$.

Let $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ be an effect algebra and $a \in E$. If $a \wedge a' = 0$, then a is said to be a *sharp element* of E. We denote E_S the set of all sharp elements of E ([9-10]).

A *sequential effect algebra* is an effect algebra $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$ with another binary operation $\circ$ defined on it satisfying [2]:

(SEA1) The map $b \mapsto a \circ b$ is additive for each $a \in E$, that is, if $b \perp c$, then $a \circ b \perp a \circ c$ and $a \circ (b \oplus c) = a \circ b \oplus a \circ c$.

(SEA2) $1 \circ a = a$ for each $a \in E$.

(SEA3) If $a \circ b = 0$, then $a \circ b = b \circ a$.

(SEA4) If $a \circ b = b \circ a$, then $a \circ b' = b' \circ a$ and $a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$ for each $c \in E$.

(SEA5) If $c \circ a = a \circ c$ and $c \circ b = b \circ c$, then $c \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ b) \circ c$ and $c \circ (a \oplus b) = (a \oplus b) \circ c$ whenever $a \perp b$.

If $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ is a sequential effect algebra, then the operation $\circ$ is said to be a *sequential product* on $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$. If $a, b \in (E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ and $a \circ b = b \circ a$, then a and b is said to be *sequentially independent* and is denoted by $a | b$ ([1-2]).

Let H be a complex Hilbert space, $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be the set of all bounded linear operators on H, $\mathcal{P}(H)$ be the set of all projections on H, $\mathcal{E}(H)$ be the set of all self-adjoint operators on H satisfying that $0 \leq A \leq I$. For $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, we say that $A \oplus B$ is defined if $A + B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, in this case, we define $A \oplus B = A + B$. It is easy to see that $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$ is an effect algebra, we call it *standard effect algebra* ([8]). Each element A in $\mathcal{E}(H)$ is said to be a *quantum effect*, the set $\mathcal{E}(H)_S$ of all sharp elements of $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$ is just $\mathcal{P}(H)$ ([2, 9]).

Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, we denote $Ker(A) = \{x \in H \mid Ax = 0\}$, $Ran(A) = \{Ax \mid x \in H\}$, $P_{Ker(A)}$ denotes the projection onto $Ker(A)$. Let $x \in H$ be a unit vector, P_x denotes the projection onto the one-dimensional subspace spanned by x.

In 2001 and 2002, Professor Gudder, Nagy and Greechie showed that for any two quantum effects A and B, if we define $A \circ B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then the operation $\circ$ is a sequential product on the standard effect algebra $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$, moreover, they studied some properties of this special sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$ ([1,2]).

In 2005, Professor Gudder asked ([4]): Is $A \circ B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the only sequential product on standard effect algebra $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$?

In 2009, Liu and Wu constructed a new sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$, thus answered Gudder's problem negatively ([7]). This new sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$ motivated us to study the following topics: (1) Characterize the algebraic properties of abstract sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$. (2) Present a general method for constructing sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$. (3) Characterize some elementary properties of the sequential product constructed by the method. Our results generalize many conclusions in [1,3,7,14].

2. Abstract sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$

In this section, we study some elementary properties of the abstract sequential product on the standard effect algebra $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$.

Lemma 2.1 ([2]). Let $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ be a sequential effect algebra, $a \in E$. Then the following conditions are all equivalent:

(1) $a \in E_S$;

(2) $a \circ a' = 0$;

(3) $a \circ a = a$.

Lemma 2.2 ([2]). Let $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ be a sequential effect algebra, $a \in E$, $b \in E_S$. Then the following conditions are all equivalent:

(1) $a \leq b$;

(2) $a \circ b = b \circ a = a$.

Lemma 2.3 ([2, 8]). Let $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ be a sequential effect algebra, $a, b, c \in E$.

(1) If $a \perp b$, $a \perp c$ and $a \oplus b = a \oplus c$, then $b = c$.

(2) $a \circ b \leq a$.

(3) If $a \leq b$, then $c \circ a \leq c \circ b$.

Lemma 2.4 ([7]). Let $\circ$ be a sequential product on the standard effect algebra $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$. Then for any $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ and real number t, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we have $(tA) \circ B = A \circ (tB) = t(A \circ B)$.

Lemma 2.5 ([1]). Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ and A, B, C be self-adjoint operators. If for every unit vector $x \in H$, $\langle Cx, x\rangle = \langle Ax, x\rangle\langle Bx, x\rangle$, then $A = tI$ or $B = tI$ for some real number t.

Lemma 2.6 ([11]). Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ have the following operator matrix form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the space decomposition $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$. Then $A \geq 0$ iff

(1) $A_{ii} \in \mathcal{B}(H_i)$ and $A_{ii} \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2$;

(2) $A_{21} = A_{12}^*$;

(3) there exists a linear operator D from H_2 into H_1 such that $||D|| \leq 1$ and $A_{12} = A_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}} D A_{22}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\circ$ be a sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$, $B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $E \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. Then $E \circ B = EBE$.

Proof. For $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, let $\Phi_A : \mathcal{E}(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(H)$ be defined by $\Phi_A(C) = A \circ C$ for each $C \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. It follows from Lemma 2.4 and (SEA1) that Φ_A is affine on the convex set $\mathcal{E}(H)$. Note that $\mathcal{E}(H)$ generates algebraically the vector space $\mathcal{B}(H)$, so Φ_A has a unique linear extension to $\mathcal{B}(H)$, which we also denote by Φ_A. Then Φ_A is a positive linear operator on $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and $\Phi_A(I) = A$. Thus Φ_A is continuous.

Note that $E \in \mathcal{P}(H) = \mathcal{E}(H)_S$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $E \circ (I - E) = 0$ and so $\Phi_E(I - E) = 0$. By composing Φ_E with all states on $\mathcal{B}(H)$ and using Schwarz's inequality, we conclude that $\Phi_E(B) = \Phi_E(EBE)$. Since $EBE \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $E \in \mathcal{E}(H)_S$ and $EBE \leq E$, by Lemma 2.2 we have $E \circ (EBE) = EBE$. Thus $E \circ B = \Phi_E(B) = \Phi_E(EBE) = E \circ (EBE) = EBE$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\circ$ be a sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ and $AB = BA$. Then $A \circ B = B \circ A = AB$.

Proof. We use the notations as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Suppose $E \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ and $E \in \{A\}'$, i.e., $EA = AE$. Note that $EAE, (I-E)A(I-E) \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $EAE \leq E$ and $(I - E)A(I - E) \leq I - E$, by Lemma 2.2, it follows that $EAE|E$ and $(I - E)A(I - E)|(I - E)$. Since $A = EAE + (I - E)A(I - E)$, by (SEA4) and (SEA5) we have $A|E$. By Theorem 2.1 we conclude that $A \circ E = E \circ A = EAE = AE$. Thus, $\Phi_A(E) = AE$. Since Φ_A is a continuous linear operator and $\{A\}'$ is a von Neumann algebra, we conclude that $\Phi_A(B) = AB$. That is, $A \circ B = AB$. Similarly, we have $B \circ A = BA$. Thus $A \circ B = B \circ A = AB$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\circ$ be a sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. Then the following conditions are all equivalent:

(1) $AB = BA = B$;

(2) $A \circ B \geq B$;

(3) $A \circ B = B$;

(4) $B \circ A = B$;

(5) $B \leq P_{Ker(I-A)}$;

(6) $B \leq A^n$ for each positive integer n.

Proof. (1)⇒(3) and (1)⇒(4): By Theorem 2.2.

(3)⇒(2) is obvious.

(4)⇒(3): By Theorem 2.2, $B \circ A = B = B \circ I$. Thus, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $B \circ (I - A) = 0$. By (SEA3), $B|(I-A)$. By (SEA4), $B|A$. So $A \circ B = B \circ A = B$.

(2)⇒(6): By using Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 repeatedly, we have:

$B \leq A \circ B \leq A \circ I = A$;

$A \circ B \leq A \circ (A \circ B) \leq A \circ A = A^2$;

$A \circ (A \circ B) \leq A \circ \Big(A \circ (A \circ B)\Big) \leq A \circ A^2 = A^3$;

$\vdots$

$A \circ \cdots \circ (A \circ B) \leq A \circ \Big(A \circ \cdots \circ (A \circ B)\Big) \leq A \circ A^{n-1} = A^n$.

The above showed that $B \leq A^n$ for each positive integer n.

(6)⇒(5): Let $\chi_{\{1\}}$ be the characteristic function of $\{1\}$. Note that $0 \leq A \leq I$, it is easy to know that $\{A^n\}$ converges to $\chi_{\{1\}}(A) = P_{Ker(I-A)}$ in the strong operator topology. Thus $B \leq P_{Ker(I-A)}$.

(5)⇒(1): Since $0 \leq B \leq P_{Ker(I-A)}$, we have $Ker(P_{Ker(I-A)}) \subseteq Ker(B)$. So $Ran(B) \subseteq Ran(P_{Ker(I-A)}) = Ker(I-A)$. Thus $(I-A)B = 0$. That is, $AB = B$. Taking adjoint, we get $AB = BA = B$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\circ$ be a sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. Then the following conditions are all equivalent:

(1) $C \circ (A \circ B) = (C \circ A) \circ B$ for every $C \in \mathcal{E}(H)$;

(2) $\langle (A \circ B)x, x\rangle = \langle Ax, x\rangle \langle Bx, x\rangle$ for every $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$;

(3) $A = tI$ or $B = tI$ for some real number $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, we conclude that (2)⇒(3). By Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 2.4, (3)⇒(1) is trivial.

(1)⇒(2): If (1) hold, then $P_x \circ (A \circ B) = (P_x \circ A) \circ B$ for every $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$. By Theorem 2.1, $P_x \circ (A \circ B) = P_x(A \circ B)P_x = \langle (A \circ B)x, x\rangle P_x$. By Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.4, $(P_x \circ A) \circ B = (P_x A P_x) \circ B = (\langle Ax, x\rangle P_x) \circ B = \langle Ax, x\rangle (P_x \circ B) = \langle Ax, x\rangle P_x B P_x = \langle Ax, x\rangle \langle Bx, x\rangle P_x$. Thus (2) hold.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\circ$ be a sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$, $B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $E \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. Then the following conditions are all equivalent:

(1) $E \circ B \leq B$;

(2) $EB = BE$;

(3) $E \circ B = B \circ E$.

Proof. (2)⇒(3): By Theorem 2.2.

(3)⇒(1): By Lemma 2.3.

(1)⇒(2): Since $E \in \mathcal{P}(H)$, by Theorem 2.1, $E \circ B = EBE$. Thus, $B - EBE \geq 0$. Note that

$$B - EBE = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & EB(I-E) \\ (I-E)BE & (I-E)B(I-E) \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the space decomposition $H = Ran(E) \oplus Ker(E)$, so by Lemma 2.6 we have $EB(I-E) = (I-E)BE = 0$. Thus $B = EBE + (I-E)B(I-E)$. So $EB = BE$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $\circ$ be a sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$, $A, B, C \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. If A is invertible, then the following conditions are all equivalent:

(1) $B \leq C$;

(2) $A \circ B \leq A \circ C$.

Proof. (1)⇒(2): By Lemma 2.3.

(2)⇒(1): It is easy to see that $\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}A^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}(H)$.

By Lemma 2.3, $(\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}A^{-1}) \circ (A \circ B) \leq (\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}A^{-1}) \circ (A \circ C)$.

By Theorem 2.2, $(\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}A^{-1})|A$ and $(\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}A^{-1}) \circ A = \|A^{-1}\|^{-1}I$.

By (SEA4) and Theorem 2.2, we have

$(\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}A^{-1}) \circ (A \circ B) = \Big((\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}A^{-1}) \circ A\Big) \circ B = (\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}I) \circ B = \|A^{-1}\|^{-1}B$,

$(\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}A^{-1}) \circ (A \circ C) = \Big((\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}A^{-1}) \circ A\Big) \circ C = (\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}I) \circ C = \|A^{-1}\|^{-1}C$.

So $B \leq C$.

Corollary 2.1. Let $\circ$ be a sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$, $A, B, C \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. If A is invertible, then the following conditions are all equivalent:

(1) $B = C$;

(2) $A \circ B = A \circ C$.

3. General method for constructing sequential products on $\mathcal{E}(H)$

In the sequel, unless specified, suppose H be a finite dimensional complex Hilbert space, $\mathbf{C}$ be the set of complex numbers, $\mathbf{R}$ be the set of real numbers, for each $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $sp(A)$ be the spectra of A and $B(sp(A))$ be the set of all bounded complex Borel functions on $sp(A)$.

Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, if there exists a complex constant ξ such that $|\xi| = 1$ and $A = \xi B$, then we denote $A \approx B$.

In [7], Liu and Wu showed that if we define $A \circ B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} f_i(A) B f_{-i}(A) A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, where $f_z(t) = \exp z(\ln t)$ if $t \in (0, 1]$ and $f_z(0) = 0$, then $\circ$ is a sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$, this result answered Gudder's problem negatively.

Now, we present a general method for constructing sequential products on $\mathcal{E}(H)$.

For each $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, take a $f_A \in B(sp(A))$.

Define $A \diamond B = f_A(A) B \overline{f_A}(A)$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$.

we say the set $\{f_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfies *sequential product condition* if the following two conditions hold:

(i) For every $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ and $t \in sp(A)$, $|f_A(t)| = \sqrt{t}$;

(ii) For any $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, if $AB = BA$, then $f_A(A) f_B(B) \approx f_{AB}(AB)$.

If $\{f_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfies sequential product condition, then it is easy to see that

(1) $f_A(A)\overline{f_A}(A) = \overline{f_A}(A) f_A(A) = A$, $(f_A(A))^* = \overline{f_A}(A)$.

(2) If $0 \in sp(A)$, then $f_A(0) = 0$.

(3) If $A = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k E_k$, where $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{n}$ are pairwise orthogonal projections, then $f_A(A) = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} f_A(\lambda_k) E_k$.

(4) For each $E \in \mathcal{P}(H)$, $f_E(E) = f_E(0)(I - E) + f_E(1)E = f_E(1)E$.

(5) for any $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $A \diamond B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$.

Lemma 3.1 ([12]). Let H be a complex Hilbert space, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, A, B, AB be three normal operators, and at least one of A, B be a compact operator. Then BA is also a normal operator.

Lemma 3.2 ([13]). If $M, N, T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, M, N are normal operators and $MT = TN$, then $M^*T = TN^*$.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfy sequential product condition and $A,B\in\mathcal{E}(H)$. If $A\diamond B=B\diamond A$ or $A\diamond B=\overline{f_B}(B)Af_B(B)$, then $AB=BA$.

Proof. If $A\diamond B=B\diamond A$, that is, $f_A(A)B\overline{f_A}(A)=f_B(B)A\overline{f_B}(B)$, then $f_A(A)\overline{f_B}(B)f_B(B)\overline{f_A}(A)=f_B(B)\overline{f_A}(A)f_A(A)\overline{f_B}(B)$, so $f_A(A)\overline{f_B}(B)$ is normal. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\overline{f_B}(B)f_A(A)$ is also normal. Note that $\Big(f_A(A)\overline{f_B}(B)\Big)f_A(A)=f_A(A)\Big(\overline{f_B}(B)f_A(A)\Big)$, by using Lemma 3.2, we have $\Big(f_A(A)\overline{f_B}(B)\Big)^*f_A(A)=f_A(A)\Big(\overline{f_B}(B)f_A(A)\Big)^*$. That is, $f_B(B)A=Af_B(B)$. Taking adjoint, we have $\overline{f_B}(B)A=A\overline{f_B}(B)$. Thus, $AB=A\overline{f_B}(B)f_B(B)=\overline{f_B}(B)Af_B(B)=\overline{f_B}(B)f_B(B)A=BA$.

If $A\diamond B=\overline{f_B}(B)Af_B(B)$, that is, $f_A(A)B\overline{f_A}(A)=\overline{f_B}(B)Af_B(B)$, the proof is similar, we omit it.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfy sequential product condition and $A,B\in\mathcal{E}(H)$. If $AB=BA$, then $A\diamond B=B\diamond A=AB$.

Proof. Since $AB=BA$, by sequential product condition (i) we have $A\diamond B=f_A(A)B\overline{f_A}(A)=|f_A|^2(A)B=AB$. Similarly, $B\diamond A=f_B(B)A\overline{f_B}(B)=|f_B|^2(B)A=AB$. Thus $A\diamond B=B\diamond A=AB$.

Lemma 3.5. Suppose $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfy sequential product condition and $A,B\in\mathcal{E}(H)$. If $AB=BA$, then for every $C\in\mathcal{E}(H)$, $A\diamond(B\diamond C)=(A\diamond B)\diamond C$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, $A\diamond B=AB$. By sequential product condition (ii), there exists a complex constant ξ such that $|\xi|=1$ and $f_A(A)f_B(B)=\xi f_{AB}(AB)$. Taking adjoint, we have $\overline{f_B}(B)\overline{f_A}(A)=\overline{\xi}\,\overline{f_{AB}}(AB)$. Thus, $f_A(A)f_B(B)C\overline{f_B}(B)\overline{f_A}(A)=f_{AB}(AB)C\overline{f_{AB}}(AB)=f_{A\diamond B}(A\diamond B)C\overline{f_{A\diamond B}}(A\diamond B)$. That is, $A\diamond(B\diamond C)=(A\diamond B)\diamond C$.

Lemma 3.6 ([1]). If $y,z\in H$ and $|\langle y,x\rangle|=|\langle z,x\rangle|$ for every $x\in H$, then there exists a $c\in\mathbf{C}$, $|c|=1$, such that $y=cz$.

Lemma 3.7 ([14]). Let $f:H\longrightarrow\mathbf{C}$ be a mapping, $T\in\mathcal{B}(H)$. If the operator $S:H\longrightarrow H$ defined by $S(x)=f(x)T(x)$ is linear, then $f(x)=f(y)$ for every $x,y\notin Ker(T)$.

Lemma 3.8. Let $f:H\longrightarrow\mathbf{C}$ be a mapping, $T\in\mathcal{B}(H)$. If the operator

$S : H \longrightarrow H$ defined by $S(x) = f(x)T(x)$ is linear, then there exists a constant $\xi \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $S(x) = \xi T(x)$ for every $x \in H$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, there exists a constant $\xi \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $S(x) = \xi T(x)$ for every $x \notin Ker(T)$. Of course, $S(x) = 0 = \xi T(x)$ for every $x \in Ker(T)$. So $S(x) = \xi T(x)$ for every $x \in H$.

Our main result in the section is the following.

Theorem 3.1. For each $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, take a $f_A \in B(sp(A))$. Define $A \diamond B = f_A(A)B\overline{f_A}(A)$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. Then $\diamond$ is a sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$ iff the set $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfies sequential product condition.

Proof. (1) Firstly we suppose $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfy sequential product condition, we show that $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus, \diamond)$ is a sequential effect algebra.

(SEA1) is obvious.

By Lemma 3.4, $I \diamond B = B$ for each $B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, so (SEA2) hold.

We verify (SEA3) as follows:

If $A \diamond B = 0$, then $f_A(A)B\overline{f_A}(A) = 0$, so $f_A(A)B^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$, thus, we have $AB = \overline{f_A}(A)f_A(A)B^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$. Taking adjoint, we have $AB = BA$. So $A \diamond B = B \diamond A$.

We verify (SEA4) as follows:

If $A \diamond B = B \diamond A$, then by Lemma 3.3, $AB = BA$. So $A(I - B) = (I - B)A$. By Lemma 3.4, we have $A\diamond(I-B) = (I-B)\diamond A$. By Lemma 3.5, $A\diamond(B\diamond C) = (A\diamond B)\diamond C$ for every $C \in \mathcal{E}(H)$.

We verify (SEA5) as follows:

If $C \diamond A = A \diamond C$ and $C \diamond B = B \diamond C$, then by Lemma 3.3, $AC = CA$, $BC = CB$. So (SEA5) follows easily by Lemma 3.4.

Thus, we proved that $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus, \diamond)$ is a sequential effect algebra.

(2) Now we suppose $\diamond$ be a sequential product on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$, we show that the set $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfies sequential product condition.

Since $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus, \diamond)$ is a sequential effect algebra, by Theorem 2.2, for each $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $A \diamond I = A$, thus $|f_A|^2(A) = A$. If $A = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k E_k$, where $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{n}$ are pairwise orthogonal projections, $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} E_k = I$, then $sp(A) = \{\lambda_k\}$, $|f_A|^2(A) = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} |f_A(\lambda_k)|^2 E_k$.

Thus $|f_A(\lambda_k)| = \sqrt{\lambda_k}$ and $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfies sequential product condition (i).

To prove $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfies sequential product condition (ii), let $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ and $AB = BA$. By Theorem 2.2, we have $A \diamond B = B \diamond A = AB$. Thus by (SEA4), $A \diamond (B \diamond C) = (A \diamond B) \diamond C$ for every $C \in \mathcal{E}(H)$.

Let $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$, $C = P_x$. Then for every $y \in H$, we have

$$\langle f_A(A)f_B(B)P_x\overline{f_B}(B)\overline{f_A}(A)y, y\rangle$$

$$= \langle\Big(A \diamond (B \diamond P_x)\Big)y, y\rangle$$

$$= \langle\Big((A \diamond B) \diamond P_x\Big)y, y\rangle$$

$$= \langle\Big((AB) \diamond P_x\Big)y, y\rangle$$

$$= \langle f_{AB}(AB)P_x\overline{f_{AB}}(AB)y, y\rangle \ .$$

Since

$$\langle f_A(A)f_B(B)P_x\overline{f_B}(B)\overline{f_A}(A)y, y\rangle = |\langle\overline{f_B}(B)\overline{f_A}(A)y, x\rangle|^2 \ ,$$

$$\langle f_{AB}(AB)P_x\overline{f_{AB}}(AB)y, y\rangle = |\langle\overline{f_{AB}}(AB)y, x\rangle|^2 \ ,$$

we have $|\langle\overline{f_B}(B)\overline{f_A}(A)y, x\rangle| = |\langle\overline{f_{AB}}(AB)y, x\rangle|$ for every $x, y \in H$.

By Lemma 3.6, there exists a complex function g on H such that $|g(x)| \equiv 1$ and $\overline{f_B}(B)\overline{f_A}(A)x = g(x)\overline{f_{AB}}(AB)x$ for every $x \in H$. By Lemma 3.8, there exists a constant $\xi \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $|\xi| = 1$ and $\overline{f_B}(B)\overline{f_A}(A)x = \xi\overline{f_{AB}}(AB)x$ for every $x \in H$. So we conclude that $\overline{f_B}(B)\overline{f_A}(A) = \xi\overline{f_{AB}}(AB)$. Taking adjoint, we have $f_A(A)f_B(B) = \overline{\xi}f_{AB}(AB)$. Thus $f_A(A)f_B(B) \approx f_{AB}(AB)$. This showed that the set $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfies sequential product condition.

Theorem 3.1 present a general method for constructing sequential products on $\mathcal{E}(H)$. Now, we give two examples.

Example 3.1. Let g be a bounded complex Borel function on $[0, 1]$ such that

$$|g(t)| = \sqrt{t} \text{ for each } t \in [0, 1] \ ,$$

$$g(t_1t_2) = g(t_1)g(t_2) \text{ for any } t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1] \ .$$

For each $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, let $f_A = g|_{sp(A)}$. Then it is easy to know that $\{f_A\}$ satisfies sequential product condition. So by Theorem 3.1, $A \diamond B = f_A(A)B\overline{f_A}(A) = g(A)B\overline{g}(A)$ defines a sequential product on the standard effect algebra $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$.

It is clear that Example 3.1 generalized Liu and Wu's result in [7].

Example 3.2. Let H be a 2-dimensional complex Hilbert space, $\Gamma = \{\gamma \mid \gamma$ be a decomposition of I into two rank-one orthogonal projections$\}$. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we can represent γ by a pair of rank-one orthogonal projections (E_1, E_2), if $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $A \notin span\{I\}$ and $A = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{2} \lambda_k E_k$, then we say that A *can be diagonalized by* γ.

For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we take a $\xi(\gamma) \in \mathbf{R}$. If $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $A \notin span\{I\}$ and A can be diagonalized by γ, let $f_A(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i}$ for $t \in sp(A)$.

If $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ and $A = \lambda I$, let $f_A(t) = \sqrt{t}$ for $t \in sp(A)$.

Then the set $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfies sequential product condition (see the proof below). So by Theorem 3.1, $A \diamond B = f_A(A)B\overline{f_A}(A)$ defines a sequential product on the standard effect algebra $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$.

Proof. Obviously $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfies sequential product condition (i).

Now we show that $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfies sequential product condition (ii). Let $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $AB = BA$.

(1) If $A = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{2} \lambda_k E_k$, $B = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{2} \mu_k E_k$, $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$, let $\gamma = (E_1, E_2)$, we have $f_A(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i}$ for $t \in sp(A)$, $f_B(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i}$ for $t \in sp(B)$. So $f_A(A) = A^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{2} \lambda_k^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} E_k$, $f_B(B) = B^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{2} \mu_k^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} E_k$.

(1a) If $\lambda_1\mu_1 = \lambda_2\mu_2$, then $AB = \lambda_1\mu_1 I$, so $f_{AB}(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $t \in sp(AB)$, thus we have $f_{AB}(AB) = (AB)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\lambda_1\mu_1} I$, $f_A(A)f_B(B) = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{2} (\lambda_k\mu_k)^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} E_k = (\lambda_1\mu_1)^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} I = (\lambda_1\mu_1)^{\xi(\gamma)i} f_{AB}(AB) \approx f_{AB}(AB)$.

(1b) If $\lambda_1\mu_1 \neq \lambda_2\mu_2$, then $AB = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{2} \lambda_k\mu_k E_k$, so $f_{AB}(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i}$ for $t \in sp(AB)$, $f_{AB}(AB) = (AB)^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{2} (\lambda_k\mu_k)^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} E_k$, thus we have $f_A(A)f_B(B) = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{2} (\lambda_k\mu_k)^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} E_k = f_{AB}(AB)$.

(2) If $A = \lambda I$, $B = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{2} \mu_k E_k$, $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$, let $\gamma = (E_1, E_2)$. Then we have $f_A(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $t \in sp(A)$, $f_B(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i}$ for $t \in sp(B)$. So $f_A(A) = A^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\lambda} I$, $f_B(B) =$

$B^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{2} \mu_k^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} E_k$, $AB = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{2} \lambda\mu_k E_k$.

(2a) If $\lambda = 0$, then $AB = 0$, $f_{AB}(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $t \in sp(AB)$, so $f_{AB}(AB) = (AB)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$. Thus $f_A(A)f_B(B) = 0 = f_{AB}(AB)$.

(2b) If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $f_{AB}(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i}$ for $t \in sp(AB)$. So $f_{AB}(AB) = (AB)^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{2} (\mu_k)^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} E_k$. Thus $f_A(A)f_B(B) = \sqrt{\lambda} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{2} (\mu_k)^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi(\gamma)i} E_k \approx f_{AB}(AB)$.

(3) If $A = \lambda I$, $B = \mu I$, then $f_A(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $t \in sp(A)$, $f_B(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $t \in sp(B)$. So $f_A(A) = A^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\lambda} I$, $f_B(B) = B^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\mu} I$. $AB = \lambda\mu I$, $f_{AB}(t) = t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $t \in sp(AB)$, $f_{AB}(AB) = (AB)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\lambda\mu} I$. Thus $f_A(A)f_B(B) = f_{AB}(AB)$.

It follows from (1)-(3) that the set $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfies sequential product condition (ii).

4. Properties of the sequential product $\diamond$ on $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \oplus)$

Now, we study some elementary properties of the sequential product $\diamond$ defined in Section 3.

In this section, unless specified, we follow the notations in Section 3. We always suppose $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfies sequential product condition. So by Theorem 3.1 $\diamond$ is a sequential product on the standard effect algebra $(\varepsilon(H), 0, I, \oplus)$.

Lemma 4.1. If $C \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, then $f_{tC}(tC) \approx f_{tI}(t)f_C(C)$.

Proof. Since $\{f_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfies sequential product condition, $f_{tC}(tC) \approx f_{tI}(tI)f_C(C) = f_{tI}(t)f_C(C)$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$, $\|f_A(A)x\| \neq 0$, $y = \frac{f_A(A)x}{\|f_A(A)x\|}$. Then $A \diamond P_x = \|f_A(A)x\|^2 P_y$.

Proof. For each $z \in H$, $(A \diamond P_x)z = f_A(A)P_x\overline{f_A}(A)z = \langle \overline{f_A}(A)z, x\rangle f_A(A)x = \langle z, f_A(A)x\rangle f_A(A)x = \|f_A(A)x\|^2 P_y z$. So $A \diamond P_x = \|f_A(A)x\|^2 P_y$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a von Neumann algebra, P be a minimal projection in M, $A \in M$, $x \in Ran(P)$, $\|x\| = 1$. Then $PAP = \omega_x(A)P$, where $\omega_x(A) = \langle Ax, x\rangle$.

Proof. Since P is a minimal projection in M, by [15, Proposition 6.4.3], $PAP = \lambda P$ for some complex number λ. Thus $\langle PAPx, x\rangle = \langle \lambda Px, x\rangle$. So $\lambda = \omega_x(A)$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. Then the following conditions are all equivalent:

(1) $AB = BA$;

(2) $A \diamond B = B \diamond A$;

(3) $A \diamond (B \diamond C) = (A \diamond B) \diamond C$ for every $C \in \mathcal{E}(H)$.

Proof. (1)⇒(2): By Theorem 2.2.

(2)⇒(1): By Lemma 3.3.

(1)⇒(3): By Lemma 3.5.

(3)⇒(1): Let $x \in H$, $\|x\| = 1$, $C = P_x$. Then for each $y \in H$,

$$\langle f_A(A) f_B(B) P_x \overline{f_B}(B) \overline{f_A}(A) y, y\rangle$$

$$= \langle \Big(A \diamond (B \diamond P_x)\Big) y, y\rangle$$

$$= \langle \Big((A \diamond B) \diamond P_x\Big) y, y\rangle$$

$$= \langle f_{A\diamond B}(A \diamond B) P_x \overline{f_{A\diamond B}}(A \diamond B) y, y\rangle \ .$$

Since

$$\langle f_A(A) f_B(B) P_x \overline{f_B}(B) \overline{f_A}(A) y, y\rangle = |\langle \overline{f_B}(B) \overline{f_A}(A) y, x\rangle|^2 \ ,$$

$$\langle f_{A\diamond B}(A \diamond B) P_x \overline{f_{A\diamond B}}(A \diamond B) y, y\rangle = |\langle \overline{f_{A\diamond B}}(A \diamond B) y, x\rangle|^2 \ ,$$

we have $|\langle \overline{f_B}(B) \overline{f_A}(A) y, x\rangle| = |\langle \overline{f_{A\diamond B}}(A \diamond B) y, x\rangle|$ for every $x, y \in H$.

By Lemma 3.6, there exists a complex function g on H such that $|g(x)| = 1$ and $\overline{f_B}(B) \overline{f_A}(A) x = g(x) \overline{f_{A\diamond B}}(A \diamond B) x$ for every $x \in H$.

By Lemma 3.8, there exists a constant ξ such that $|\xi| = 1$ and $\overline{f_B}(B) \overline{f_A}(A) x = \xi \overline{f_{A\diamond B}}(A \diamond B) x$ for every $x \in H$.

So we conclude that $\overline{f_B}(B) \overline{f_A}(A) = \xi \overline{f_{A\diamond B}}(A \diamond B)$.

Taking adjoint, we have $f_A(A) f_B(B) = \overline{\xi} f_{A\diamond B}(A \diamond B)$. Thus $\overline{f_B}(B) A f_B(B) = \overline{f_B}(B) \overline{f_A}(A) f_A(A) f_B(B) = \xi \overline{f_{A\diamond B}}(A \diamond B) \overline{\xi} f_{A\diamond B}(A \diamond B) = A \diamond B$. That is, $A \diamond B = \overline{f_B}(B) A f_B(B)$, so by Lemma 3.3, we have $AB = BA$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. If $A \diamond B \in \mathcal{P}(H)$, then $AB = BA$.

Proof. If $A \diamond B = 0$, then by (SEA3) we have $A \diamond B = B \diamond A$, so by Theorem 4.1 we have $AB = BA$.

If $A \diamond B \neq 0$. Firstly, we let $x \in Ran(A \diamond B)$ and $\|x\| = 1$. Then $f_A(A)B\overline{f_A}(A)x = x$. So $\langle B\overline{f_A}(A)x, \overline{f_A}(A)x\rangle = 1$. By Schwarz inequality, we conclude that $B\overline{f_A}(A)x = \overline{f_A}(A)x$. Thus $Ax = f_A(A)\overline{f_A}(A)x = f_A(A)B\overline{f_A}(A)x = x$. So $1 \in sp(A)$ and $B\overline{f_A}(A)x = \overline{f_A}(A)x = \overline{f_A}(1)x$.

Next, we let $x \in Ker(A \diamond B)$ and $\|x\| = 1$. Then $f_A(A)B\overline{f_A}(A)x = 0$. So $\langle B\overline{f_A}(A)x, \overline{f_A}(A)x\rangle = 0$. We conclude that $B\overline{f_A}(A)x = 0$.

Thus, we always have $B\overline{f_A}(A) = \overline{f_A}(1)(A \diamond B)$. That is, $f_A(1)B\overline{f_A}(A) = A \diamond B$.

Taking adjoint, we have $f_A(1)B\overline{f_A}(A) = \overline{f_A}(1)f_A(A)B$.

By Lemma 3.2, we have $\overline{f_A}(1)Bf_A(A) = f_A(1)\overline{f_A}(A)B$. So $f_A(1)\overline{f_A}(A)B$ is self-adjoint. By [15, Proposition 3.2.8], we have

$$sp\Big(f_A(1)\overline{f_A}(A)B\Big)\backslash\{0\} = sp\Big(f_A(1)B\overline{f_A}(A)\Big)\backslash\{0\} = sp(A \diamond B)\backslash\{0\} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^+.$$

Thus we conclude that $f_A(1)\overline{f_A}(A)B \geq 0$.

Since $\Big(f_A(1)\overline{f_A}(A)B\Big)^2 = \Big(\overline{f_A}(1)Bf_A(A)\Big)\Big(f_A(1)\overline{f_A}(A)B\Big) = BAB = \Big(f_A(1)B\overline{f_A}(A)\Big)\Big(\overline{f_A}(1)f_A(A)B\Big) = (A \diamond B)^2$, by the uniqueness of positive square root, we have $f_A(1)\overline{f_A}(A)B = A \diamond B$. That is, $f_A(1)\overline{f_A}(A)B = \overline{f_A}(1)Bf_A(A) = f_A(1)B\overline{f_A}(A) = \overline{f_A}(1)f_A(A)B = A \diamond B$. Thus, $BA = f_A(1)B\overline{f_A}(A)\overline{f_A}(1)f_A(A) = f_A(1)\overline{f_A}(A)B\overline{f_A}(1)f_A(A) = f_A(1)\overline{f_A}(A)\overline{f_A}(1)f_A(A)B = AB$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. Then the following conditions are all equivalent:

(1) $A \diamond (C \diamond B) = (A \diamond C) \diamond B$ for every $C \in \mathcal{E}(H)$;

(2) $C \diamond (A \diamond B) = (C \diamond A) \diamond B$ for every $C \in \mathcal{E}(H)$;

(3) $\langle (A \diamond B)x, x\rangle = \langle Ax, x\rangle\langle Bx, x\rangle$ for every $x \in H$ with $\|x\| = 1$;

(4) $A = tI$ or $B = tI$ for some $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.4, we conclude that (2)$\Longleftrightarrow$(3)$\Longleftrightarrow$(4).

(4)$\Rightarrow$(1) follows from Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.2 easily.

(1)$\Rightarrow$(4): If (1) hold, then $A \diamond (P_x \diamond B) = (A \diamond P_x) \diamond B$ for each $x \in H$ with

$\|x\|=1$. Without lose of generality, we suppose $\|f_A(A)x\|\neq 0$. Let $y=\frac{f_A(A)x}{\|f_A(A)x\|}$.

By Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 2.1,

$$A\diamond(P_x\diamond B)=f_A(A)(P_xBP_x)\overline{f_A}(A)$$

$$=f_A(A)(\langle Bx,x\rangle P_x)\overline{f_A}(A)$$

$$=\langle Bx,x\rangle(A\diamond P_x)$$

$$=\|f_A(A)x\|^2\langle Bx,x\rangle P_y\ .$$

By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2,

$$(A\diamond P_x)\diamond B=(\|f_A(A)x\|^2P_y)\diamond B$$

$$=f_{\|f_A(A)x\|^2P_y}(\|f_A(A)x\|^2P_y)B\overline{f_{\|f_A(A)x\|^2P_y}}(\|f_A(A)x\|^2P_y)$$

$$=f_{\|f_A(A)x\|^2I}(\|f_A(A)x\|^2)f_{P_y}(P_y)B\overline{f_{\|f_A(A)x\|^2I}}(\|f_A(A)x\|^2)\overline{f_{P_y}}(P_y)$$

$$=\|f_A(A)x\|^2P_yBP_y$$

$$=\|f_A(A)x\|^2\langle By,y\rangle P_y\ .$$

Thus $\langle Bx,x\rangle=\langle By,y\rangle$. So we have $\langle\overline{f_A}(A)Bf_A(A)x,x\rangle=\langle Ax,x\rangle\langle Bx,x\rangle$. By Lemma 2.5, we conclude that (4) hold.

Theorem 4.4. Let $A\in\mathcal{E}(H)$, $E\in\mathcal{P}(H)$. Then the following conditions are all equivalent:

(1) $A\diamond E\leq E$;

(2) $E\overline{f_A}(A)(I-E)=0$.

Proof. Since $E\in\mathcal{P}(H)$ and $\|\overline{f_A}(A)\|\leq 1$, we have

$$A\diamond E\leq E\Longleftrightarrow\langle f_A(A)E\overline{f_A}(A)x,x\rangle\leq\langle Ex,x\rangle\text{ for every }x\in H$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow\|E\overline{f_A}(A)x\|\leq\|Ex\|\text{ for every }x\in H$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow\overline{f_A}(A)\mid_{Ker(E)}\subseteq Ker(E)$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow E\overline{f_A}(A)(I-E)=0\ .$$

Corollary 4.1 [14]. Let $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $E \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. Then the following conditions are all equivalent:

(1) $A^{\frac{1}{2}}EA^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq E$;

(2) $AE = EA$.

Proof. (2)⇒(1) is trivial.

(1)⇒(2): Let $f_B(t) = \sqrt{t}$ for each $B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ and $t \in sp(B)$, then $\{f_B\}_{B\in\mathcal{E}(H)}$ satisfies sequential product condition. For this sequential product, $A \diamond E = A^{\frac{1}{2}}EA^{\frac{1}{2}}$. So by Theorem 4.4 we have $EA^{\frac{1}{2}}(I - E) = 0$. That is, $EA^{\frac{1}{2}} = EA^{\frac{1}{2}}E$. Taking adjoint, we have $EA^{\frac{1}{2}} = A^{\frac{1}{2}}E$. Thus $AE = EA$.

Corollary 4.2. Let $M \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ be a von Neumann algebra, $\mathcal{E}(M) = \{A \in M | 0 \leq A \leq I\}$, P or $I-P$ be a minimal projection in M. Then for every $A \in \mathcal{E}(M)$, the following conditions are all equivalent:

(1) $A \diamond P \leq P$;

(2) $AP = PA$.

Proof. (2)⇒(1): By Theorem 2.2, $A \diamond P = AP = PAP \leq P$.

(1)⇒(2): If P is a minimal projection in M, then by Theorem 4.4 we have $P\overline{f_A}(A)(I - P) = 0$, that is, $P\overline{f_A}(A) = P\overline{f_A}(A)P$.

Let $x \in Ran(P)$ with $\|x\| = 1$. Then by Lemma 4.3 we have $P\overline{f_A}(A)P = \omega_x(\overline{f_A}(A))P$. So $P\overline{f_A}(A) = \omega_x(\overline{f_A}(A))P$. Taking adjoint, we have $f_A(A)P = \omega_x(f_A(A))P$. By Lemma 3.2, we have $Pf_A(A) = \overline{\omega_x(\overline{f_A}(A))}P = \omega_x(f_A(A))P$. Thus $Pf_A(A) = f_A(A)P$. Taking adjoint, we have $P\overline{f_A}(A) = \overline{f_A}(A)P$. So, $PA = Pf_A(A)\overline{f_A}(A) = f_A(A)P\overline{f_A}(A) = f_A(A)\overline{f_A}(A)P = AP$.

If $I-P$ is a minimal projection in M. By Theorem 4.4 we have $P\overline{f_A}(A)(I-P) = 0$. Taking adjoint, we have $(I - P)f_A(A)P = 0$. That is, $(I - P)f_A(A) = (I - P)f_A(A)(I-P)$. Similar to the proof above, we conclude that $(I-P)A = A(I-P)$. So $AP = PA$.

References

[1]. Gudder, S, Nagy, G. Sequential quantum measurements. J. Math. Phys.

42(2001), 5212-5222.

[2]. Gudder, S, Greechie, R. Sequential products on effect algebras. Rep. Math. Phys. 49(2002), 87-111.

[3]. Gheondea, A, Gudder, S. Sequential product of quantum effects. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), 503-512.

[4]. Gudder, S. Open problems for sequential effect algebras. Inter. J. Theory. Phys. 44 (2005), 2219-2230.

[5]. Gudder, S, Latrmolire, F. Characterization of the sequential product on quantum effects. J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008), 052106-052112.

[6]. Shen J., Wu J. D.. Not each sequential effect algebra is sharply dominating. Phys. Lett. A 373 (2009), 1708-1712.

[7]. Liu Weihua, Wu Junde. A uniqueness problem of the sequence product on operator effect algebra $\mathcal{E}(H)$. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42(2009), 185206-185215.

[8]. Foulis, D J, Bennett, M K. Effect algebras and unsharp quantum logics. Found. Phys. 24 (1994), 1331-1352.

[9]. Gudder, S. Sharply dominating effect algebras. Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. 15(1998), 23-30.

[10]. Riecanova, Z, Wu Junde. States on sharply dominating effect algebras. Science in China Series A: Math. 51(2008), 907-914.

[11]. Smuljan, J L. An operator Hellinger integral (Russian). Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 49(1959), 381-430.

[12]. Kaplansky, I. Products of normal operators. Duke Math. J. 20 (1953), 257-260.

[13]. Rudin, W. Functional analysis. McGraw-Hill, New York (1991).

[14]. Li, Y, Sun, X H, Chen, Z L. Generalized infimum and sequential product of quantum effects. J. Math. Phys. 48 (2007), 102101.

[15]. Kadison, R, Ringrose, J. Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras (I, II). American Mathematical Society, New York (1997).